Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
MARITEL, INC.) WT Docket No. 04-257
MOBEX NETWORK SERVICES, LLC) RM-10743
Petitions for Rule Making to Amend the)
Commission's Rules to Provide Additional)
Flexibility for AMTS and VHF Public Coast)
Station Licensees)

To: The Commission

<u>MOTION TO DISMISS OR TO STRIKE</u>

Paging Systems, Inc. ("PSI"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 1.41 of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC's" or "Commission's") Rules and Regulations, hereby submits its Motion to Dismiss or to Strike the Reply to Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by AMTS Consortium LLC ("AMTS"), Telesaurus-VPC LLC ("TVL") Intelligent Transportation & Monitoring Wireless LLC and Warren Havens (collectively, "Havens") ("Reply") ¹ in connection with PSI's Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration ("Opposition") in the above referenced matter. ² As discussed below, PSI requests that the Commission dismiss or strike the Reply as irrelevant to the proceeding; scandalous, pursuant to

The Reply was listed on the FCC's Electronic Comment Filing System ("ECFS") as received/adopted on September 7, 2007 and posted on September 11, 2007. See Exhibit 1. The Reply was due to be filed on September 6, 2007, as Havens acknowledges.

In the Matter of MariTEL, Inc. and Mobex Network Services, LLC, *Report and Order*, FCC 07-87, 22 FCC Rcd 8971 (2007) ("Report and Order").

Rule Section 1.52 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations; and procedurally defective, in violation of Sections 1.106(h).

I. Introduction

- 1. Havens has been "practicing" *pro se* at the FCC for many years. Accordingly, he must adhere to the ethical and procedural standards that are applicable to all persons making submissions to the Commission. The Commission must not allow the non-attorney status of Havens to prevent it from enforcing its code of professional behavior on him. It is submitted, for example, that Sections 1.52 and 1.24(a) of the Rules ³ must apply to Havens with respect to making unsubstantiated claims and scurrilous personal attacks. Havens must be held to a proper standard or denied the right to participate in Commission proceedings. ⁴
- 2. Additionally, in an *Order on Reconsideration* released in January of 2007, the Broadband Division of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau warned Havens that if he continued to premise pleadings on allegations that were previously adjudicated in favor of the petitioned party, he would face "summary dismissal of his pleadings" or an alternative prior screening procedure for his pleadings. It further warned that it would impose sanctions. ⁵ On this basis and as argued below, PSI requests that the Commission summarily dismiss or strike the referenced Reply.

⁴⁷ CFR §§1.52 and 1.24(a).

See Anthony R. Martin-Trigona ("Martin-Trigona"), 592 F.Supp. 1566, 1568 (D. Conn, 1984)("The affidavits also detail Martin-Trigona's penchant for viciously abusing and harassing opposing parties, counsel, and, in the words of the Court of Appeals, 'anyone [else] who so much as crosses his path,' In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F. 2d at 1254, 1263 (2nd Cir. 1984). As this court has previously found, see In re Martin-Trigona, supra, F. Supp at 1264 para. 12, in findings affirmed by the Court of Appeals, In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F. 2d at 1259-1260, Martin-Trigona's harassment and defamations cause emotional distress and injury to his victims and subject them to embarrassment among professional colleagues, insurers, and the general public. As in all such situations, the truth takes all too long to overcome the lie."; see also; Nationwide Communications, Inc., 13 FCC Rcd 5654 (1998).

Order on Reconsideration, DA 07-148, 22 FCC Rcd 665 at ¶16 (2007).

II. <u>Discussion</u>

A. The Reply Is Irrelevant To The Proceeding

- 3. Normally, PSI would not burden the Commission with a Motion to Dismiss or to Strike an irrelevant reply to an opposition in a rule making reconsideration. However, the unfounded personal attack and the publication of potentially libelous statements from an unrelated state court complaint compels the relief requested.
- 4. Here, PSI is gratuitously attacked and a Complaint filed in Superior Court of the State of California in the County of San Mateo is appended which presents arguments that the Commission has already considered and denied in FCC proceedings. Nevertheless, Havens presents the Complaint, *without* a ruling by the Superior Court or even a response from PSI, as if it contains verifiable facts. This is simply not the forum to include such nonsense and the Reply should be dismissed or stricken.

B. The Reply Is Scandalous

- 5. Havens accuses PSI and its Attorney of misrepresentation stating that "This misrepresentation is characteristic of PSI and its counsel...." ⁶ Apparently what triggered the tirade against PSI and its counsel this time was a footnote in PSI's Opposition that stated: "The Electronic Comment Filing System ("ECFS"), however, lists the filing date as July 9, 2007." Attached is Exhibit 1, a copy of the Records in Proceeding 04-257, which speaks for itself. PSI made an observation. Havens elevates this observation to a "misrepresentation," and attributes this so-called "misrepresentation" to PSI's counsel. Such conduct must not be tolerated.
- 6. Havens also took this opportunity to present accusations of all sorts of violations of FCC rules as well as other state and federal violations. However, none of these accusations

Reply at page 1.

are substantiated by any facts and, in truth, in every decided proceeding in which Havens has

pressed his allegations, the FCC has resolved the issues in favor of PSI.

7. Havens, as discussed above, attached a copy of a Complaint against PSI and

others filed in the courts in California to the Reply. Not only is the Complaint irrelevant to the

issues raised in the above rule making, it has not even been addressed by PSI. Yet, Havens

repeats in this forum his claims of misconduct by PSI and others. The reply and the attachment

are unsupportable. It also is scandalous in the submission of the copy of the Complaint which

contains allegations of wrongdoing yet to be determined. The only remedy is to dismiss or strike

the reply and the attachment.

C. The Reply is Procedurally Defective, In Violation of Sections 1.106(h).

8. Havens, for all practical purposes, has not responded to PSI's Opposition as

required in Section 1.106(h). Instead, he has introduced new arguments that are not allowed by

the Commission's Rules. To present tirades and an entirely extraneous matter violates the FCC

Rules and Regulations. The Reply must be dismissed or stricken.

III. CONCLUSION

9. For all of the reasons presented herein, PSI requests that the Commission

dismiss the Havens Reply to Opposition to the Petition for Reconsideration or, in the

alternative, strike it.

Respectfully submitted,

PAGING SYSTEMS, INC.

Subray P. Rasmusen

By:

Audrey P. Rasmussen

David L. Hill

ITS ATTORNEYS

HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE, GOLDEN & NELSON, P.C.

1120 20th Street, N.W. Suite 700, North Building Washington, D.C. 20036-3406 Telephone (202) 973-1200 Facsimile (202) 973-1212

Dated: September 13, 2007

74006.1:999914:00014

EXHIBIT 1



32 Record(s) Found For Proceeding:04-257

Record 1 through 10 displayed

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 09/07/0/

Document Type: REPLY File Number/Community:

Filed on Behalf of: AMTS Consortium LLC et al

Filed By:

Attorney/Author Name: Warren Havens

Complete Mailing Address: 2649 Benvenue Ave., #2-3 Berkeley, CA 94704

View

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 08/22/07

Document Type: OPPOSE File Number/Community:

Filed on Behalf of: Paging Systems, Inc.

Filed By: Hall, Estill, Hardwick, Gable, Golden & Nelson, PC

Attorney/Author Name: Audrey P. Rasmussen

Complete Mailing Address:

1120 20th Street, NW, Suite 700 North

Washington, DC 20036

OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATI

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 07/25/07

Document Type: *PUB NOTICE* **...** File Number/Community: *Report No. 2822*

Filed on Behalf of: RIC

Filed By: FCC

Attorney/Author Name: Tamatha D. Love

Complete Mailing Address:

445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

n asmi View

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 07/19/07

Document Type: OPPOSE
File Number/Community: RM-10743

Filed on Behalf of: Paging Systems, Inc.

Filed By: Hall, Estill, Hardwick, Gable, Golden & Nelson, P.C.

Attorney/Author Name: Audrey P. Rasmussen

Complete Mailing Address:

1120 20th Street, NW, Suite 700 North

Washington, DC 20036

OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATI

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 07/09/0/

Document Type: PET RECON

ET KECON | {| }| ##### Total Pages: 9
DA/FCC Number:

Type Code: RL

Date Released/Denied:

Date Posted Online: 09/11/07

Type Code: OP

Date Released/Denied:

Total Pages: 7

DA/FCC Number:

Date Posted Online: 08/22/07

Type Code: PN

Date Released/Denied:

Total Pages: 1

DA/FCC Number:

Date Posted Online: 07/27/07

Type Code: OP

Date Released/Denied:

Total Pages: 6

DA/FCC Number:

Date Posted Online: 07/19/07

Type Code: PR

Date Released/Denied:

Total Pages: 6

File Number/Community: DA/FCC Number:

Filed on Behalf of: Intelligent Transportation & affiliates

Filed By:

Attorney/Author Name: Date Posted Online: 07/09/07

Complete Mailing Address: 2649 benvenue ave, 2-6 berkeley, CA 94704

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Proceeding: 04-257 Type Code: LT

Date Received/Adopted: 07/06/07

Document Type: LETTER

File Number/Community:

Date Released/Denied:
Total Pages: 5
DA/FCC Number:

Filed on Behalf of: AMTS Consortium LLC

Filed By:

Attorney/Author Name: Warren C. Hayes Date Posted Online: 07/24/07

Complete Mailing Address:

2649 Benvenve Avenue

#2-3

(Posted After the Opposition was Filed)

DA/FCC Number:

Berkeley, CA 94704

View

Proceeding: 04-257 Type Code: PT
Date Received/Adopted: 06/13/07 Date Released/Denied:
Document Type: PETITION Total Pages: 3

File Number/Community:
Filed on Behalf of: Northeast Utilities Service Company

Filed By: Keller and Heckman LLP

Attorney/Author Name: Martin W. Bercovici Date Posted Online: 06/14/07

Complete Mailing Address: 1001 G Street NW, Suite 500 West

Washington, DC 20001

PETITION

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 11/21/05

Document Type: NOTICE

File Number/Community:

Type Code: NO

Date Released/Denied:

Total Pages: 2

DA/FCC Number:

Filed on Behalf of: Motorola

Filed By:

Attorney/Author Name: Date Posted Online: 11/21/05

Complete Mailing Address:

1350 I Street, NW

suite 400

Washington, DC 20005

ERRATA, ERRATUM OR ADDENDUM

Proceeding: 04-257

Date Received/Adopted: 11/15/05

Document Type: NOTICE

File Number/Community:

Type Code: NO

Date Released/Denied:

Total Pages: 2

DA/FCC Number:

Filed on Behalf of: Motorola

Filed By:

Attorney/Author Name: Date Posted Online: 11/16/05

Complete Mailing Address:

1350 I Street, NW

Suite 400

Washignton, DC 20005

NOTICE

Proceeding: 04-257 Type Code: NO
Date Received/Adopted: 11/15/05 Date Released/Denied:

Document Type: NOTICE
File Number/Community:
Filed on Behalf of: Motorola

Filed By:

Attorney/Author Name: Complete Mailing Address:

1350 I Street, NW

Suite 400

Washington, DC 20005

NOTICE

Total Pages: 2 DA/FCC Number:

Date Posted Online: 11/16/05

Enter New Search Criteria Back to Top of Form

| T-10 | 11-20 | 21-30 | 31-32 | All | FCC Home Page | Search | Commissioners | Bureaus/Offices | Finding Info

updated 12/11/03

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gladys L. Nichols, do hereby certify that on this 13th day of September 2007, the foregoing **MOTION TO DISMISS OR TO STRIKE** was served on the following persons by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid:

Warren C. Havens AMTS Consortium LLC Telesaurus-VPC LLC Intelligent Transportation & Monitoring Wireless LLC 2649 Benvenue Avenue, Suite #2-3 Berkeley, CA 94704

- * Roger Noel
 Division Chief, Mobility Division
 Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
 Federal Communications Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20554
- * Joel Taubenblatt
 Division Chief, Broadband Division
 Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
 Federal Communications Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20554

/s/Gladys L. Nichols

* By Electronic Mail

74006.1:999914:00014